

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>SERGEI KOVALEV</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
	:	
v.	:	<b>NO. 16-6380</b>
	:	
<b>PAULA WEISS and YOLANDA KENNEDY</b>	:	
	:	


**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of May 2021, upon considering Plaintiff's Motion for review (ECF Doc. No. 205), Defendants' Response (ECF Doc. No. 206), Plaintiff's noncompliant Reply (ECF Doc. No. 207)<sup>1</sup>, and for reasons in the accompanying Memorandum, it is **ORDERED** Plaintiff's Motion to vacate (ECF Doc. No. 205) is **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part**:

1. We **vacate** the Clerk's Taxation of Costs (ECF Doc. No. 203) and Judgment (ECF Doc. No. 204) as we sustain two objections as to costs for duplicative transcripts and to a portion of service fees;

2. Plaintiff's Motion is otherwise denied requiring we tax \$2009.03 in allowable costs against the Plaintiff and award to Defendants after sustaining two objections; and,

3. We enter **JUDGMENT** in favor of the Defendants and against Sergei Kovalev in the amount of **\$2,009.03**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**KEARNEY, J.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's Reply is noncompliant with our Policies as to number of pages but is largely repetitive offering only two additional theories without merit.